



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/734,310

12/12/2003

Leonard D. Rarick

SUNMP349

1691

32291 7590 03/29/2007  
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP  
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE  
SUITE 200  
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

EXAMINER

WANG, HARRIS C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2139

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

03/29/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/734,310	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RARICK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Harris C. Wang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2139	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/23/2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10, 12 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anand (US 20020191792).

Regarding Claim 1,

Anand teaches a crypto algorithm unit comprising:

A first crypto hash execution module ; (Fig. 1, MD5 circuit, 126)

and a second crypto hash execution module, (Fig. 1, SHA1 Circuit, 128)

wherein the first crypto execution and the second crypto execution module share a plurality of components to form a combination crypto algorithm unit (*"The system shares register and other circuit resources for MD5 and SHA1 hash circuits that are implemented in each hash channel" Abstract*)

Regarding Claim 2,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of claim 1, wherein the combination crypto algorithm unit includes a plurality of muxes. (*"Hash block 103 comprises several multiplexers (indicated generally as "MUX") 202-214, Fig. 2*)

Regarding Claims 3 and 4,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of claim 2, wherein the plurality of muxes provides a crypto hash algorithm selection control, wherein the crypto hash algorithm selection control allows the selection of a first subset of the plurality of components, wherein the selected first subset of the plurality of components can execute a first crypto algorithm (*"If a SHA1 hash is selected input (e.g. md5\_sha1 is active or logic one), then the middle hash is selected. If an MD5 hash is selected (i.e. signal md5\_sha1 is inactive or logic zero), then the first input md5\_temp for mux 204 is selected" Paragraph [0118]*)

Regarding Claim 5,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of claim 1, wherein the combination crypto algorithm unit is capable of executing at least two different crypto algorithms (*"The system shares register and other circuit resources for MD5 and SHA1 hash circuits that are implemented in each hash channel" Abstract*)

Regarding Claim 6,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of claim 1, wherein the first crypto hash execution module is capable of executing at least one of a group of crypto hash algorithms consisting of an MD5 hash algorithm, a SHA-1 hash algorithm, a SHA256 hash algorithm, a SHA384 hash algorithm, and a SHA512 hash algorithm. (*"The system shares register and other circuit resources for MD5 and SHA1 hash circuits that are implemented in each hash channel" Abstract*)

Regarding Claim 7,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of claim 6, wherein the second crypto hash execution module is capable of executing at least one of a group of crypto hash algorithms consisting of an MD5 hash algorithm, a SHA-1 hash algorithm, a SHA256 hash algorithm, a SHA384 hash algorithm, and a SHA512 hash algorithm that is different from the crypto hash algorithm that the first crypto hash execution module is

Art Unit: 2139

capable of executing. (*"The system shares register and other circuit resources for MD5 and SHA1 hash circuits that are implemented in each hash channel"* Abstract)

Regarding Claims 8 and 9,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of claim 1, wherein the combination crypto algorithm unit is on a single integrated circuit die. (*"SHA1 algorithm is performed within an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC)...MD5 can be performed by software, or within an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC)"* (Paragraph [0039] and Paragraph [0046])

ASICs have microprocessor cores integrated in.

Regarding Claim 10,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of claim 1, wherein the combination crypto algorithm includes one or more full adders. (*"The result is added, by a first full adder"* Paragraph [0046])

Regarding Claim 12,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of Claim 1, wherein the combination crypto algorithm unit includes one or more compressors. (*"The result is added, by a first full adder" Paragraph [0046]*). The Examiner interprets a full adder as a 3 to 2 compressor.

Regarding Claims 14-18,

Anand teaches an integrated circuit comprising:

A microprocessor core; and a combination crypto algorithm unit, the combination crypto algorithm unit being coupled to the microprocessor core.

*("SHA1 algorithm is performed within an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC)...MD5 can be performed by software, or within an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC)" (Paragraph [0039] and Paragraph [0046])*. ASICs have microprocessor cores integrated in.

wherein the combination crypto algorithm unit is capable of executing at least two different crypto hash algorithms,

wherein the combination crypto algorithm unit includes a first crypto hash execution module and a second crypto hash execution module,

wherein the first crypto hash execution module is capable of executing at least one or a group of crypto hash algorithms consisting of an MD5 hash algorithm, a SHA-1

Art Unit: 2139

hash algorithm, a SHA256 hash algorithm, a SHA384 hash algorithm, and a SHA512 hash algorithm,

wherein the second crypto hash execution module is capable of executing at least one of a group of crypto hash algorithms consisting of an MD5 hash algorithm, a SHA-1 hash algorithm, a SHA256 hash algorithm, a SHA384 hash algorithm, and a SHA512 hash algorithm that is different from the crypto hash algorithm that the first crypto hash execution is capable of executing.

*("The system shares register and other circuit resources for MD5 and SHA1 hash circuits that are implemented in each hash channel" Abstract)*

The Examiner interprets the first execution module as SHA1 and the second as MD5.

Regarding Claims 19,

A method of executing a crypto instruction comprising:

Receiving a first crypto hash instruction in a combination crypto algorithm unit;

Determining a corresponding first crypto hash algorithm for the first crypto instruction;

Selecting a first plurality of components in the combination crypto algorithm unit;

and



Executing the first crypto hash instruction through the selected first plurality of components.

*("If a SHA1 hash is selected input (e.g. md5\_sha1 is active or logic one), then the middle hash is selected. If an MD5 hash is selected (i.e. signal md5\_sha1 is inactive or logic zero), then the first input md5\_temp for mux 204 is selected" Paragraph [0118])*

Receiving a second crypto hash instruction in the combination crypto algorithm unit;

Determining a corresponding second crypto hash algorithm for the second crypto hash instruction

Selecting a second plurality of components in the combination crypto algorithm unit; and executing the second crypto hash instruction through the selected second plurality of components,

*("If a SHA1 hash is selected input (e.g. md5\_sha1 is active or logic one), then the middle hash is selected. If an MD5 hash is selected (i.e. signal md5\_sha1 is inactive or logic zero), then the first input md5\_temp for mux 204 is selected" Paragraph [0118])*

the selected second plurality of components and the selected first plurality of components sharing a third plurality of components. (Fig. 1, shows the MD5 circuit and the SHA1 sharing circuitry)

The Examiner interprets the first crypto algorithm as SHA1 and the second as MD5. In order to select a hash input, it is inherent that an instruction must first be

Art Unit: 2139

received. Furthermore it is inherent that after a hash algorithm is selected the hash instruction will execute.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2139

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anand in view of Qi (US 20020184498).

Regarding Claim 11,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of claim 1. Anand does not explicitly teach wherein the combination crypto algorithm unit includes one or more carry look-ahead adders.

Qi teaches a combination crypto algorithm unit includes one or more carry look-ahead adders. (*"combination crypto algorithm unit includes one or more carry look-ahead adders." Paragraph [0014]*)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use carry look-ahead adders

The motivation to include carry look ahead adders is because CLAs are faster than ripple carry adders.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anand in view of Bradley (6711633).

Regarding Claim 13,

Anand teaches the crypto algorithm unit of claim 12. Anand does not explicitly teach wherein the one or more compressors includes a group of a 4 to 2 compressor.

Bradley teaches "a CSA is referred to herein as a 3:2 compressor...for wide operands, however, the number of stages of 3:2 compressors required may result in excessive propagation delay. To address this problem, so-called 4:2 compressors have been used to reduce the propagation delay by reducing the number of stages." (Column 1, lines 42-50)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include 4:2 compressors in the system of Anand.

The motivation is to reduce the propagation delay by reducing the number of stages.

### ***Conclusion***

4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harris C. Wang whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2139

5712701462. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5:30, Alternate Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AYAZ R. SHEIKH can be reached on (571)272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HCW

Taghi T. Arani  
Primary Examiner  
Taghi T. Arani  
3/26/07